International Journal of Linguistics and Communication
June 2014, Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 165-175
ISSN: 2372-479X (Print) 2372-4803 (Online)
Copyright © The Author(s). 2014. All Rights Reserved.
Published by American Research Institute for Policy Development

The Relationship between Locus of Control and Vocabulary Learning Strategies of Iranian EFL Learners

Ali Rahimi¹ and Rouhollah Askari Bigdeli²

Abstract

This study was an attempt to explore the possible relationship between EFL learners' psychological orientations manifested in the dichotomous categorization of internal and external locus of control with the strategies they use for learning vocabulary. Also, attempts were made in order to explore any regular patterns which would decide for male and female EFL learners to be put into either internal or external groups in terms of their LOC and vocabulary learning strategies. To this end, Rotter's Locus of Control Scale (1966) and a questionnaire adapted from Vocabulary Learning Strategies Questionnaire of Li (2004) were distributed among 74 students selected from junior students of English Literature at University of Kashan and AllamehTabataba'i University (51females and 23 males). Pearson product moment correlation and independent samples t-test were used for data analysis. EFL learners' locus of control (LOC) and their use of vocabulary learning strategies (VLSs) were found to have an insignificant correlation with each other. It was also found that there was no significant difference between male and female participants in terms of their locus of control and their use of vocabulary learning strategies.

Keywords: locus of control, vocabulary learning strategies, EFL learner

1. Introduction

Having a good command of a foreign language to a great extend depends on expanding considerable knowledge of vocabulary and consequentially finding efficient and practical strategies with which language learners can enlarge their vocabulary range is a serious concern to language teachers.

¹ Bangkok University, Department of Humanities and Tourism Management, Thailand. rahimijah@vahoo.com

² Yasouj University, Department of English language and Literature, Iran. raskari90@gmail.com

While lack of profound knowledge in grammar may not result in breakdown in communication, without vocabulary we convey nothing (Lewis, 1997). Rahimi and Sahragard (2008) held that formal instruction is regarded as the main and primary source of input in the foreign language settings and accordingly special attention must be given to presenting, practicing, and producing new vocabulary items. In this regard, a crucial step which should be taken in improving foreign language learners' learning of new vocabulary is their familiarity with and frequent use of different vocabulary learning strategies which are in line with their psychological orientations and can help them meet their learning and real-life needs.

Given that vocabulary has gained considerable currency and interest in language learning and teaching, VLSs are considered important in helping language learners to develop their vocabulary knowledge (Schmitt, 2000; Takac, 2008). It is believed that learning strategies including VLSplay a significant role in vocabulary acquisition. Ellis (1994) maintained that strategic instruction is beneficial for learners and VLSs can promote explicit vocabulary learningby helping the learners to notice new vocabulary items and store them into long-term memory. O'Malley et al. (1885) found that language learners used learning strategies in vocabulary learning more frequently than in other aspects of language learning activities. Thus, it is crucial that language learners be made aware of helpful and efficient strategies so that they can freely and consciously choose the one(s) suitable for them. According to Nation (2001), language learners can develop a large vocabulary items with the help of VLSs. Oxford and Nyikos(1989, p. 291) found that VLSs were associated with "learner autonomy, independence, and self-direction". However, the finding of studies indicated thata strategy that works well for one student may completely fail with another (Dörnyei, 2005). As such, language teachers should consider and further devote special attention to the individual differences among the learners in order to create the most effective instructions (Oxford&Ehrman, 1993).

Reporting on three studies of VLSs, (Takac, 2008) concluded that "vocabulary learning strategies are highly idiosyncratic and need tobe regarded accordingly" (p. 150) and suggested that future studies need to address the extent to which strategies are influenced by personalitytraits and cognitive and linguistic development. Generally speaking, awareness of individual differences in the learning processresults in teachers' better understanding of learners' psychological orientations and learning styles. Examples of individual differences encompass aptitude, intelligence, cognitive styles and learning strategies, gender, age, and personality (Williams & Burden, 1997).

Among these factors locus of control (LOC) that is cognitive in nature has attracted considerable attention during the past two decades(Ghabanchi&Golparvar, 2011).

The concept of LOC is closely associated with attribution theory whereby the causes of the events can be expounded (Jarvis, 2005). Those identified with an external attribution tend to believe that some external factors motivate a given event. By contrast, an internal attribution assigns causality to factors within a person rather than environmental ones (Vaidyanathan&Aggarwal, 2003). The researchers found that internals tended to persist and successfully complete the task they were engaged in whereas externals eschewedperforming the particular learning task and preferred to work on other tasks (Kernis, 1984; Lonky&Reiman, 1980).

Despite the fact that a great deal of research was carried out on the relationship between LOC and academic achievement (Gifford, Briceno-Perriott, &Mianzo2006;Hadsell, 2009; Wood, Saylor,& Cohen, 2009), scant attention has been paid to the investigation of LOC in the EFL context of Iran (Ghabanchi&Golparvar, 2011). Ghonsooly and Elahi (2010) found a significantly positive relationship between the university students' LOC and their General English achievement course. Further,investigating the relationship between LOC and L2 reading achievement and use of language learning strategies, Hosseini and Elahi (2010) found that EFL learners identified with internal LOC used metacognitive strategies more frequently than those with external LOC. TalebiHemmat and Rahimi (2012) conducted a study to determine the relationships between locus of control (LOC) orientation and high school student's language achievement. They found a significant relationship between locus control and achievement of high school students.

In the same vein, Yazdanpanahm, Sahragard, and Rahimi (2010) found that the locus of control orientation and socio-economic status had significant relationships with the academic achievement of university EFL students; that their academic achievement can be significantly predicted by their locus of control scores; that the internally oriented students performed at higher levels of achievement than the externally oriented students; and that the internal students' grades for general and major courses had significant relationships with their locus of control scores.

2. Justification of the Study

A highly important factor involved in learning every foreign language is the amount of vocabulary learners possess, since vocabulary is one of the greatest parts that shape every language. How second language learners acquire this vocabulary and how the process of learning vocabulary can be adjusted so that they are more successful in the learning are of great importance in the field of second language learning. In this regard, a crucial step which should be taken in improving second language learners' learning of new vocabulary is their familiarity with and frequent use of different vocabulary learning strategies which are in line with their psychological orientations and can help them meet their learning and real-life needs.

However, to the best of researchers' knowledge, there is a dearth of research on the possible relationship between LOC and VLS. The main objective directing this study is to explore the possible relationship between students' psychological orientations manifested in the dichotomous categorization of internal and external locus of control with the strategies they use for learning vocabulary. From among the factors leading to vocabulary learning problems, one can think of the different psychological orientations that exist among students. Students with different psychological orientations (e.g. internal vs. external locus of control) may benefit from vocabulary acquisition strategies differently. It seems that the degree to which learnershave an external or internal locus of control affects the type of vocabulary learning strategies they use in the process of learning new vocabulary and the extent to which they may lead to success or failure. In effect, this stud set itself the objective of exploring adequate answers to the following questions:

- 1. Is there any significant relationship between EFL learners' LOC and VLSs they use?
- 2. Is there any significant difference between VLSsused by male and female EFL learners?
- 3. Is there any significant difference between male and female EFL learners' LOC?

3. Method

3.1 Participants

A sample of 74 (51females and 23 male) junior students of English Literature at Kashan University and AllameTabataba'i University took part in the study. The age of the students ranged from 19 to 25 years.

3.2 Instruments and Data Collection Procedure

Two questionnaires were used to collect the data. The Locus of Control Scale adopted from Rotter (1966) was used to measure the participants' LOC. The scale includes 29 items that gaugethe participants' generalized expectations concerning where control over subsequent events resides. To tap into the participants' VLSs, a used was made of a 40-item, 5-pint Likert scale questionnaire adapted from Li (2004).

Before the participants filled the questionnaires, the researcher explained the objective of the study to the participants and they were informed that the survey was anonymous. Then, the participants were provided with Rotter's Locus of Control scale including 29 items. After one-week interval, the vocabulary learning strategy questionnaire was administered. While the participants were completing the questionnaires, the researchers were present to clarify any misunderstanding concerning the items of the questionnaires.

4. Result and Discussion

Table 1 shows the means and standard deviations that each group on LOC and VLSs. As shown in this table, the male participants obtained a slightly higher score on LOC than the female participants. They also gained a slightly higher score on vocabulary learning strategy questionnaire than the female ones.

	Males		Females	
	Mean	Std.	Mean	Std.
1. LOC	12.95	3.44	12.78	2.67
2. VLSs	101.30	12.69	99.90	14.55

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for LOC and VLSs

Pearson Product Moment Correlation was run to determine the possible correlation between the participants' LOC and their VLSs use. As Table 2 indicates, the participants' LOC was unrelated to their VLSs use (r = -.047). In other words, there is not any significant relationship between LOC and EFL learners' vocabulary learning strategies.

Table 2. Pearson Product-Moment Correlation Coefficients for LOC and VLSs

		Vocabulary learning
		strategies
LOC	Pearson Correlation	047
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.690
	N	74

As Table 1 shows, a slight difference was observed between the male and female participants' mean scores of LOC and VLSs. To check whether the observed difference between the male and female participants in LOC and VLSs was statistically significant, aseries of independent samples t-test was run to compare the male and female participants' mean scores of LOC and VLSs. As Tables 3and 4show, male and female participants did not have any significant difference in terms of their use of vocabulary learning strategies. Also, sex did not contribute to any significant difference in the male and female participants' LOC.

Table 3. Independent Sample t-test for VLSs in Males and Females

	Levene's T t-test for Equality of Means								
	F	Sig.	Τ	df	Sig. (2-	Mean Diffe	Std. Error	95% Confidence	
							•	Lower	Upper
Equal vari	.803	.373	.398	72	.691	1.402	3.519	-5.614	8.418
Equal vari			.420	48.33	.677	1.4023	3.341	-5.314	8.119

Levene's Test for t-test for Equality of Means										
	F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-t	Mean D	Std. Err	95% Cc	nfidence	
							-	Lower	Upper	
Equal v	1.073	.304	.234	72	.816	.1722	.7368	-1.296	1.641	

.833

.1722

.8101

-1.473

1.817

34.506

.213

Equal v

Table 4. Independent Sample t-test for LOC in Males and Females

Pooling together, the results of the study indicated that the participants' locus of control (LOC) and their use of vocabulary learning strategies (VLSs) were found to have an insignificant correlation with each other. That is, the degree to which the participantshave an internal or an external locus of control does not seem to have any significant relationship with their perceptions of how vocabulary should be learned. Also, it was found that there is no significant difference between male and female participants in terms of their locus of control and their use of vocabulary learning strategies. This probably means that the variable of gender does not make any significant difference in EFL learners' locus of control, i.e. the degree to which they consider events to be within or out of their control, nor does it create any significant difference in EFL learners' habits of vocabulary learning, i.e. the strategies they usually use to improve their vocabulary knowledge.

As Boonkongsaen (2012) pointed out, the results concerning the relationship between the learners' use of VLSs and gender are still inconclusive and complex. In fact, the studies investigating the relationship between the learners' use of VLS and gender yielded mixed results. The results of the present study are in accord with those of other researchers (Arjomand&Sharififar, 2011; Chang Tsai & Chang, 2009; Fan, 2003; Khatib, Hassandeh,&Rezaei,2011) who found that female and male learners showed no significant difference of their vocabulary learning strategies (VLSs) use and they normally used the same strategies and were more alike than different. However, the results are at variance with the literature that revealed male and female learners employed various vocabulary learning strategies for learning vocabulary (Catalan, 2003; Gu, 2002; Jones, 2006; Seddigh, 2012; Siriwan, 2007; Yongqi, 2002).

Nyikos (1987) found that males outperformed females in regard to visual and color association strategy for vocabulary learning. Similarly, Jiménez (2003) and Yongqi (2002) found that males and females' performance was significantly different regarding VLSs use, with female learners being reportedly more frequent users of VLSs.

With regard to the difference between male and female EFL learners' LOC, no difference was found between male and female learners in LOC. In this case, the result is in agreement with those of Yates (2009) andBohanek et al. (2006)who found that there was no effect of gender on locus of control. However, the result of the present studyis not in accord with those of Sarıçam, Duran, and Çardak(2012). They found a significant difference between scores of internal and external locus of control of females and scores of internal and external locus of control of males. In the same vein, the results of other studies (Bostic, 2010; Cooley &Nowicki, 1988; Marecek&Frasch, 1977) reported that gender made a difference in the perceived locus of control.

5. Conclusion

Vocabulary learning is an essential component of learning any language; consequently, vocabulary knowledge has become a main concern for both teachers and learners. Accordingly, different vocabulary learning strategies are introduced to make the learning of new vocabulary easier. In this research, the researcher tried to explore if there is any meaningful relationship between EFL learners' different psychological orientations e.g. their internal or external views of locus of control and their use of vocabulary learning strategies. The correlation between EFL learners' locus of control (LOC) and their use of vocabulary learning strategies turned out to be insignificant. That is, the degree to which an EFL learner has an internal or an external locus of control does not seem to have any significant relationship with their perceptions of how vocabulary should be learned. It was also found that the male and female participants were not significantly different in their locus of control and their use of vocabulary learning strategies.

References

Arjomand, M., &Sharififar, M. (2011). The Most and least frequently used vocabulary learning strategies among Iranian EFL freshman students and its relationship to gender. Iranian EFL Journal, 7(1), 90-100.

- Bohanek, J. G., Marin, K. A., Fivush, R., & Duke, M. P. (2006). Family narrative interaction and children's sense of self. Family Process, 45(1), 39-54.
- Boonkongsaen, N. (2013). Factors affecting vocabulary learning strategies: A synthesized study. Naresuan University Journal, 20(2), 45-53.
- Bostic, M. N. (2010). Locus of control and academic achievement among first-generation and second-generation college students. (Master thesis of Arts, Tennessee Technological University).
- Catalan, R. M. J. (2003). Sex differences in L2 vocabulary learning strategies. International Journal of Applied Linguistics, 13(1), 54-77.
- Chang Tsai, C., & Chang, I. (2009). An examination of EFL vocabulary learning strategies of students at the University of technology of Taiwan. International Forum of Teaching and Studies, 5(2), 32-38.
- Cooley, E. L. & Nowicki S. (1988). Stres, locus of control and achievement of high school saiors. Psychological Report, 63(2), 355-358.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2005). The psychology of language learner: Individual differences in second language acquisition. New Jercy: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Ellis, R. (1994). The Study of second language acquisition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Fan, Y. M. (2003). Frequency of use, perceived usefulness, and actual usefulness of second language vocabulary strategies: A Study of Hong Kong learners. The Modern Language Journal, 87(2), 222-241.
- Ghabanchi, Z., &Golparvar, S. E. (2011). On the relationship between locus of control and iranian students' english score in the university entrance exam. International Journal of Linguistics (IJL), 3(1), 1-12.
- Ghonsooly, B., &Elahi, M. (2010). Validating locus of control questionnaire and examining its relation to general English achievement. Journal of Teaching Language Skills, 2(1), 117-143.
- Gifford, D. D., Briceno-Perriott, J., &Mianzo, F. (2006). Locus of control: Academic achievement and retention in a sample of university first-year students. Journal of College Admission, 191, 18-25.
- Gu, Y. (2002). Gender, academic major, and vocabulary learning strategies of Chinese EFL learners.RELC journal, 33(1), 35-54.
- Hadsell, L. (2009). Achievement goals, locus of control, and academic success and effort in introductory and intermediate microeconomics. In Paper to be presented at the ASSA Annual Meetings Session sponsored by the AEA Committee on Economic Education Atlanta, GA.
- Hosseini, A., &Elahi, M. (2010).On the alleged relationship between LOC, L2 reading achievement, and use of language learning strategies.Ferdowsi Review, 1, 21-47.
- Jarvis, M. (2005). The psychology of effective learning and teaching. Cheltenham: Nelson Thornes Ltd.

- Jiménez, C. (2003). Sex differences in L2 vocabulary learning strategies. International Journal of Applied Linguistics, 13, 54-77.
- Jones, R. (2006). Vocabulary learning strategy use among tertiary students in the United Arab Emirates. Perspective, 14(1), 4-8.
- Kernis, M. H. (1984). Internal versus external attributions are important determinants of subsequent performance. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No.ED 195 326).
- Khatib, M., Hassanzadeh, M., &Rezaei, S. (2011). Vocabulary learning strategies of Iranian upper-intermediate EFL learners. International Education Studies, 4(2), 144-152.
- Lewis, M. (1997).Implementing the lexical approach: Putting theory into practice.Hover, England: Language Teaching Publication.
- Li, X. (2004). An analysis of Chinese EFL learners' beliefs about the role of rote learning in vocabulary learning strategies (Doctoral dissertation, University of Sunderland).
- Lonky, E., &Reihman, J. (1980). Cognitive evaluation theory, locus of control and positive verbal feedback. (ERIC Document Service No.ED 195 324).
- Marecek, J., &Frasch, C. (1977).Locus of control and college women's role expectations.Journal of Counseling Psychology, 24(2), 132-136.
- Nation, I. S. (2001).Learning vocabulary in another language. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Nyikos, M. (1987). The effect of color and imagery as mnemonic strategies on learning and retention of lexical items in German. (Doctoral dissertation, Purdue University, U.S.).
- O'Malley, M., Chamot., A., StewnerMananaraes, G., Kupper, L., & Russo, R. (1985). Learning strategies used by beginning and intermediate ESL students. Language Learning, 35(1), 21-46.
- Oxford, R., &Nyikos, M. (1989). Variables affecting choice of language learning strategies by university students. The Modern Language Journal, 79(3), 291-300.
- Oxford, R., &Ehrman, M. (1993). Second language research on individual differences. Annual Review of Applied Linguistics, 13,188-205.
- Rahimi, A., &Sahragard, R. (2008). Vocabulary learning can be fun. California Linguistic Notes, 33(2), 1-31.
- Rotter, J. B. (1966). Generalized expectancies for internal versus external control of reinforcement. Psychological monographs: General and applied, 80(1), 1-28.
- Sarıçam, H., Duran, A., &Çardak, M. (2012). The Examination of pre-school teacher candidates' academic locus of control levels according to gender and grade. Mevlana International Journal of Education, 2(2), 67-74
- Schmitt, N. (2000). Vocabulary in language teaching. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Seddigh, F. (2012). Vocabulary learning strategies of medical students at Shiraz University of medical sciences. English Language Teaching, 5(2), 160-166.
- Siriwan, M. (2007). English vocabulary learning strategies employed by Rajabhat University students. (Doctoral dissertation, Suranaree University of Technology Nakhoratchasima, Thailand).
- Takac, P. V. (2008). Vocabulary strategies and foreign language acquisition. New York: Multilingual Matters.
- TalebiHemmat, P., &Rahimi, A. (2012). The interplay of locus of control and Iranian EFL high school learner`s language achievement. Elixir Psychology, 46, 8386-8394.
- Vaidyanathan, R., & Aggarwal, P. (2003). Who is the fairest of them all? An attributional approach to price fairness perceptions. Journal of Business Research, 56(6), 453-463.
- Williams, M., & Burden, R. (1997). Psychology for language teachers. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Wood, A. M., Saylor, C., & Cohen, J. (2009).Locus of control and academic success among ethnically diverse baccalaureate nursing students. Nursing Education Perspectives, 30(5), 290-294.

- Yates, R. (2009). Locus of control and academic achievement: a study of gender and grade level differences among low-income African-American students in a middle school.(Doctoral dissertation, Southern Illinois State University).
- Yazdanpanah, M., Sahragard, R., &Rahimi, A. (2010). The interplay of locus of control and academic achievement among Iranian English foreign language learners. Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences, 5(3), 181-202.
- Yongqi, G. (2002). Gender, academic major, and vocabulary learning strategies of Chinese EFL learners.RELC Journal, 10, 33-35.